

**Subject-matter Knowledge and Teachers' Planning and Teaching: An Interpretivist Qualitative Study of Western Australian TESOL Teachers within the ELICOS Setting.**

By

Ti Foong Yuen

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy undertaken at the University of Western Australia.

January: 2005

## **Dedication**

This thesis is dedicated to my father, Lee Ah Choy, and my mother, Lai Siew Ti, who taught me the value of education and who made sacrifices for us, their children, so that we could have the opportunities they did not have.

## **Declaration**

In accordance with the regulations for presenting theses and other work for higher degrees, I hereby declare that this doctoral thesis is entirely my own work and that it has not been submitted for a degree at any other university.

Ti Foong Yuen  
University of Western Australia  
January: 2005

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following people for their role in making this study possible:

Professor Tom O'Donoghue, my first supervisor, for being there from start to finish, providing me with valuable guidance and advice. I am especially grateful to him for giving me the freedom and space to explore and discover things for myself, while keeping his ever-watchful eye from a distance.

Dr Marie-Eve Ritz, my second supervisor, for her valuable comments and suggestions, and for accepting the way I work with grace and patience. Her gentle reassurances and encouragement at various times in the course of my study are very much appreciated.

Dr Katie Dunworth, my Head of Department, for quietly doing everything possible for me to work and study at the same time. A large part of my ability to complete the study within this time was due to her efforts in managing my workload and her support for my application for study leave.

Ms Charlie Hermann, the Director of Studies of the ELICOS program, for providing me with free access to ELICOS documents and materials, and the opportunity to approach and obtain valuable information from the teachers on the program.

The ELICOS teachers, and especially the seven participants, for finding time in their busy schedules to provide me with valuable data for this study, and, above all, for their tremendous patience and good humour in enduring, week after week, the detailed scrutiny required by this study. I am extremely grateful for their participation.

My colleagues, and in particular those on the third floor of Building 208, for their moral support, the words of encouragement and the tremendous amount of goodwill. My spirits were sustained by their sympathy in the most frustrating moments of this pilgrimage, and by their sharing of my joy (relief?) whenever I completed a chapter.

Last but not least, my husband and my two sons for their support of my study. Without the stability and security provided by their love and encouragement, this study would not have been possible.

## Abstract

What teachers understand to be the subject matter knowledge (SMK) of the subject discipline that they are teaching is an integral part of the teaching/learning process. It is an assumption within this thesis that SMK can help shape and orientate teachers' planning, selection of teaching materials, classroom teaching and the assessment of student learning. Although SMK is an essential aspect of teaching, it was not the focus of sustained research until the mid-1980s. Since then, there has been a growing number of studies on SMK of teachers in subject disciplines such as mathematics, English literature, history, biology and social science. However, relatively little is known about the SMK of TESOL teachers. In particular, very little is known about TESOL teachers' perspectives on SMK.

The study reported in this thesis addresses the deficit. It investigated the perspectives of Teaching-of-English-to-speakers-of-other-languages' (TESOL) teachers on SMK in language teaching in the ELICOS setting. As the study was located within the interpretivist paradigm, the primary modes of data collection were those that elicited qualitative data. Thus, semi-structured interviews, document analysis, non-participant observations and field notes formed the main methods of data gathering. They provided the bulk of the data for the study and were analysed using the grounded theory method.

The findings are presented as a series of inter-related propositions. These propositions deal with:

1. How TESOL teachers see their role as ELICOS within the set conditions of the workplace;
2. How TESOL teachers see their role as ELICOS teachers in terms of developing teaching strategies that accommodate the constraints posed by the way ELICOS programs are organised, managed, and operated;
3. How language for TESOL teachers is a complex system consisting of non-verbal and verbal codes;
4. How language for TESOL teachers, is tool, which is used predominantly for communication and that communication is concerned with the expression, interpretation and negotiation of meaning;
5. How meaning can only be fully realised when language is taken within its socio-cultural context;
6. How TESOL teachers view the physical, affective and social environment as affecting good teaching;
7. How TESOL teachers perceive that three types of needs have to be addressed in their teaching, namely, knowledge, affective and behavioural needs;

8. How TESOL teachers' perceive that two sets of strategies need to be employed in the learning/teaching process, namely strategies based on an understanding of the nature of the SMK and strategies based on an understanding of learning in general.

It is recognised that the research findings, based as they are on in-depth study of a select group of participants, do not have generalisability in the statistical sense. On the other hand, sufficient detail of the research context, data collection and data analysis is laid out so that readers can make their own judgements about transferability to other settings. Finally, implications are proposed for theory, for practice and for future research.

## Acronyms

Ac - Academic English  
ALLP - Australian Language and Literacy Policy  
ALPR – Australian Language Proficiency Ratings  
ANALPR - A National Language Policy for Australia  
ASEAN – Association of South-East Asian Nations  
ASLPR - Australian Second Language Proficiency Ratings  
AMES - Adult Migrant Education Service  
ARELS - Association of Recognised English Schools  
CELTA - Certificate in English Language Teaching to Adults  
CIC – Curtin International College  
CMEP - Child Migrant Education Program  
DE - Developing English  
DEET - Department of Employment, Education and Training  
DELTA - Diploma in English Language Teaching to Adults  
DILGEA - Department of Immigration, Local Government and Ethnic Affairs  
DoLIE - Department of Languages and Intercultural Education  
DoS - Director of Studies  
EA - English Australia  
EAP - English for Academic Purposes  
EFL - English as a foreign language  
ELBC - English Language Bridging Course  
ELI - English Language Institute  
ELICOS - English language intensive courses for overseas students  
ELP - English language proficiency  
ELT - English language training  
ESL – English as a second language  
ESOS - Education Services for Overseas Students  
ESP - English for Specific Purposes  
FS – Foundation Studies  
G/P - General/Professional  
HECE - Higher Education Contract of Employment  
HOD - Head of Department  
IATFLA - International Association of Teachers of English as a Foreign Language  
L1 – First language  
MMPI - Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory  
NES - native English speakers  
NEAS - National ELICOS Accreditation Scheme  
NNES - non-native English speakers  
NPL - National Policy on Languages  
PCK - Pedagogical content knowledge  
RELC – Regional English Language Centre  
RSA - Royal Society of Arts  
SES - socio-economic status  
SMK - subject-matter knowledge

TAS - Tuition Assurance Scheme

TESOL - Teaching-of-English-to-speakers-of-other-languages

UCLES - University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| DEDICATION                             | ii        |
| DECLARATION                            | iii       |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS                       | iv        |
| ABSTRACT                               | v         |
| ACRONYMS                               | vii       |
| <br>                                   |           |
| <b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>         | <b>1</b>  |
| The Background: An Overview            | 2         |
| The Literature: An Overview            | 9         |
| The Research Approach and Methodology  | 14        |
| Theoretical background                 | 14        |
| The guiding questions                  | 15        |
| Research context                       | 16        |
| The participants                       | 17        |
| Data collection                        | 17        |
| Analysis of data                       | 19        |
| Conclusion                             | 20        |
| <br>                                   |           |
| <b>CHAPTER 2: RESEARCH CONTEXT</b>     | <b>22</b> |
| The History of TESOL                   | 22        |
| TESOL Today                            | 34        |
| The spread of English and TESOL        | 34        |
| The provision of TESOL today           | 37        |
| Factors affecting the quality of TESOL | 44        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| TESOL in Australia  | 47         |
| An historical account of TESOL in Australia                   | 47         |
| The ELICOS industry   | 54         |
| The ELICOS colleges   | 56         |
| ELICOS teachers   | 59         |
| Conclusion  | 62         |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>CHAPTER THREE: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                       | <b>63</b>  |
| A Review of Literature on Effective Teaching                  | 63         |
| Teacher personality   | 64         |
| Process-product research                                      | 69         |
| Teacher cognition   | 74         |
| Teacher knowledge   | 79         |
| Teacher beliefs   | 81         |
| Review of Literature on Subject-matter Knowledge              | 83         |
| Early studies on SMK  | 84         |
| Research on SMK of teachers from the 1980s onwards            | 85         |
| Effects of SMK of teachers on planning and classroom teaching | 95         |
| Review of Literature on SMK in TESOL                          | 102        |
| Definition of SMK in TESOL                                    | 103        |
| Studies on TESOL teachers' SMK                                | 107        |
| Conclusion  | 113        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>CHAPTER 4: METHODOLOGY</b>                                 | <b>114</b> |
| Theoretical Underpinnings                                     | 114        |
| Central research question                                     | 119        |
| The guiding questions   | 120        |
| Research Site   | 121        |
| Selection of Participants                                     | 123        |
| Data Collection   | 126        |
| The proposed research plan                                    | 126        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| The original data-gathering plan   | 127        |
| The actual data collection process   | 129        |
| Analysis of Data   | 130        |
| Open coding  | 131        |
| Axial coding   | 135        |
| Development of propositions  | 137        |
| Data Recording and Storage   | 138        |
| Criteria for Trustworthiness   | 139        |
| Ethical Considerations   | 140        |
| Conclusion   | 141        |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>CHAPTER FIVE: FINDINGS: PROPOSITION 1</b>                                       | <b>142</b> |
| Proposition 1  |            |
| The ELICOS Employment Conditions   | 142        |
| The ELICOS syllabus  | 151        |
| Developing English syllabus  | 151        |
| Academic English syllabus  | 152        |
| G/P syllabus   | 153        |
| Assessments  | 153        |
| Electives syllabus   | 154        |
| Conclusion   | 155        |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>CHAPTER SIX: FINDINGS: PROPOSITION 2</b>  | <b>157</b> |
| Proposition 2  | 157        |
| Strategies to Maximise the Use of Class Time                                       | 157        |
| Strategies for addressing the lack of homogeneity of students' knowledge and goals | 162        |
| Strategies to Deal with Co- and Parallel Teachers                                  | 166        |
| Strategies for Selecting the SMK in the Syllabus to be Taught                      | 169        |
| Conclusion   | 176        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>CHAPTER 7: FINDINGS: PROPOSITIONS 3, 4, and 5</b> | <b>178</b> |
| Proposition 3  | 178        |
| Language as a System of Non-verbal Codes             | 179        |
| Language as a System of Verbal Codes                 | 180        |
| Vocabulary   | 181        |
| Grammar  | 184        |
| Pronunciation  | 187        |
| The macro-skills                                     | 189        |
| Proposition 4a                                       | 192        |
| Proposition 4b                                       | 195        |
| Meaning Expression                                   | 195        |
| Clarity  | 197        |
| Relevance  | 205        |
| Appropriateness                                      | 207        |
| Meaning Interpretation                               | 209        |
| Literal comprehension                                | 209        |
| Inferential comprehension                            | 214        |
| Evaluative comprehension                             | 218        |
| Meaning Negotiation                                  | 221        |
| Checking comprehension of meaning                    | 222        |
| Reaching consensus in meaning                        | 223        |
| Collaborating to refine or modify meaning            | 224        |
| Proposition 5  | 225        |
| Conclusion   | 230        |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>CHAPTER 8: FINDINGS: PROPOSITIONS 6, 7 and 8</b>  | <b>231</b> |
| Proposition 6  | 231        |
| The Physical Environment                             | 232        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| The Affective Environment                   | 234        |
| The Social Dimension                        | 238        |
| Proposition 7                               | 241        |
| Knowledge Needs                             | 242        |
| Sources of knowledge                        | 242        |
| Strategies to address knowledge needs       | 246        |
| Affective Needs                             | 254        |
| Strategies for dealing with affective needs | 256        |
| Behavioural Needs                           | 258        |
| The autonomous learner                      | 259        |
| The active learner                          | 261        |
| The receptive learner                       | 263        |
| The disciplined learner                     | 264        |
| Proposition 8                               | 267        |
| Learning Strategies Specific to Language    | 267        |
| Learning activities                         | 267        |
| Teaching materials                          | 270        |
| Teacher role                                | 272        |
| General Learning Strategies                 | 277        |
| Cohesive and coherent lessons               | 277        |
| Communicating teacher expectations          | 282        |
| Keeping the learning atmosphere in balance  | 285        |
| Learning as a pleasant experience           | 287        |
| Conclusion                                  | 291        |
| <b>CHAPTER 9: SUMMARY and CONCLUSION</b>    | <b>292</b> |
| Summary of Findings                         | 293        |
| Transferability of Findings                 | 299        |

|                                   |            |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Implications of Research Findings | 302        |
| Theory development                | 303        |
| Improvement of practice           | 304        |
| <b>REFERENCES</b>                 | <b>308</b> |