

**An Investigation into Teachers' Career Satisfaction
in the State of Sarawak, Malaysia**

Shahri Abdul Rahman

B.Ed (Hons.)

Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang

M.Sc (HRD)

Institute of Development Policy and Management,
University of Manchester, England

This thesis is presented in part fulfilment
of the requirement for the degree of
Doctor of Education
of The University of Western Australia
Graduate School of Education
2001

Abstract

This study investigates the levels and sources of teachers' career satisfaction in the state of Sarawak, Malaysia. Using both the Job Descriptive Index (JDI) and Job in General (JiG) scales, modified to suit the Sarawak situation, this is a quantitative study. It involved a randomly selected sample of 776 teachers and 110 administrators from 20 primary and nine secondary school in Sarawak. The quantitative data were analysed using descriptive statistics, correlation coefficients and multiple regression.

In general, primary school teachers in Sarawak have higher levels of satisfaction than secondary school teachers. A comparison by regions reveals that rural teachers have higher levels of satisfaction than the urban teachers and a comparison by gender shows that male teachers have higher levels of satisfaction than female teachers. Such patterns of differing satisfaction levels are also found for the administrators. The study, however, did not find consistent pattern of correlations between the extrinsic and intrinsic factors on one hand, and the demographic and background variables on the other, for either sample. For teachers, the background variables mostly correlated with the extrinsic and intrinsic factors of satisfaction were academic and professional qualifications, the annual performance appraisal result of 1998, teachers' facilities rating and teaching loads. For administrators, the background variables mostly correlated with the extrinsic and intrinsic factors were academic and professional qualifications, and ratings of teachers' facilities.

In terms of the predictability of satisfaction levels, the study showed that schools and teachers' facilities rating are useful predictors for both the extrinsic and intrinsic factors of satisfaction for teachers, while age, regions and teaching loads are consistent predictors for both extrinsic and intrinsic factors of satisfaction for the administrators.

Acknowledgments

Alhamdulillah, with the strength and courage that has been granted by the almighty, I now reach the final stage of my study. The writing of this thesis would not be possible without the assistance, guidance and support from several people. First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisors, Professor Keith Punch, for his constant encouragement, advice and constructive comments throughout my research and writing this thesis; Dr. Penny Lee, who assisted me with the final touch of the thesis organisation. All the lecturers who have shared their professional experience throughout the courses I have undertaken in the EdD program. Their observations and thoughts have not only assisted me throughout this fruitful endeavour in my research but have also provided me with much learning in the process.

My special thanks goes to Associate Professor Dr. Tom O'Donoghue with whom I first communicated with prior to being granted a place and enrolled as a doctoral student at UWA. Mrs. Robyn, Mrs. Carol, Mrs. Jenny, Miss Dianne, David and other staff at the general office earn my special thanks for their excellent service and effective contributions throughout my study at the GSE.

I also would like to extend my gratitude to the Sarawak Tunku Abdul Rahman Scholarship Foundation who has funded my study and research. The Ministry of Education, Malaysia and the Sarawak Education Department also earn my special thanks and appreciation for granting me the study leave. I am also very thankful and indebted to both Sdr. Abdullah Adam and Sdr. Drahan Haji Amit who have unhesitatingly agreed to become my guarantors and enabled me to receive the scholarship for my study.

My sincere thanks to all my colleagues at the Sarawak Education Department both at the Divisional and the District Education Offices whose names I could not list here, for their unfailing support and kind words of advice. Sdr. Abang Mat Ali, Sdr. Bolhan Omar, Sdr. Hassan Wa Gani, Sdr. Sulaiman Saikh Abdul Kadir and Sdr. Zainuddin Kuan, to mention a few, have been my key providers of important statistics and data from the department, required in my study.

A record of appreciation to my colleagues at the Divisional Education Offices for Kuching and Samarahan for their support and assistance during my tenure as Supervisor of Schools for the divisions.

Last but not the least, I wish to thank all the principals, school heads and their staff who have provided me with the support needed during my field research. I recognise and value such support, which has made a tremendous contribution to my study and they strengthen my satisfaction in this career which I have been 'attached' to for the past 23 years.

To all the people who have directly or indirectly assisted me in making this study a success, I wish to extend my heartfelt gratitude and may Allah SWT bless you all.

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree or diploma at this or other universities and that to my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any materials previously published or written by another person where due reference is not made in the text.

Shahri Abdul Rahman

Date: 01 February 2001

Dedication

I wish to place a special dedication to my wife Sarbanun Abu Bakar, my daughters Mimi Shahreyna and Lenny Suriyani who have put tremendous effort in helping me with typing the research data; my son Izzatur Rahman who keeps us constantly cheerful with his charming jovial state. They all have contributed a great deal of effort towards invigorating me in my study.

I may have failed to be a caring father to my three lovely children and a husband to my loyal wife since during the entire years in my study I have not been at home too regularly and most of the time leaving them 'home alone'. Please forgive me for this and Insya-Allah may the experience I have been through provides greater wisdom for us all.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	iii
Declaration	iv
Dedication	v
List of Tables	xiv-xvii
List of Maps and Figures	xviii
List of Appendices	xix
List of Abbreviations	xx

CHAPTER ONE

The Research Context

Introduction	1
1.1 Research aims	1
1.2 Research questions	2
1.3 Research context	2
1.3.1 Geographical context	3
1.3.2 Cultural context	6
1.3.3 Educational context	8
1.3.3.1 Brief history of teacher education in Sarawak	13
1.3.3.2 Education development in Sarawak	16
1.3.4 Teachers' work environment in Sarawak	17
1.3.4.1 The pay-rated teachers' appraisal system	18
1.3.4.2 Promotion policy	22
1.3.4.3 Supervision	25
1.3.4.4 The placement and welfare of teachers in Sarawak	26
1.3.4.5 Professional development	29
1.4 Rationale of the study	31
1.5 Significance of the study	33

1.6 Overview of the thesis	34
CHAPTER TWO	36-79
The Literature Review	
Introduction	36
2.1 Definitions of key concepts	36
2.1.1 The terms ‘career’ and ‘job’	37
2.1.2 Profession	37
2.1.3 Job satisfaction	39
2.2 Review of relevant literature	42
2.2.1 Early interest in job satisfaction	42
2.2.2 Theories of job satisfaction	43
2.2.2.1 The two-factor theory	44
2.2.2.2 The intrapersonal comparison process theory	48
2.2.2.3 Instrumentality theory	50
2.2.2.4 Interpersonal comparison theory	50
2.2.3 Studies of teacher job satisfaction	52
2.2.4 Sources of teacher job satisfaction and dissatisfaction	54
2.2.5 The relationships between demographic characteristics and job satisfaction	63
2.2.5.1 Age	64
2.2.5.2 Gender	65
2.2.5.3 Level of education	66
2.2.5.4 Experience	67
2.2.6 Is teaching a profession? An emerging issue in teachers’ career satisfaction	68
2.2.7 The teaching profession in Malaysia	72
Summary	79
CHAPTER THREE	80-102
The research Methods	
Introduction	80
3.1 Rationale for the research design	80
3.2 Survey questionnaire	82
3.2.1 Selection and formulation of the questionnaire	83

3.3 Pilot study	88
3.3.1 Aims of the pilot study	89
3.3.2 Management of the pilot study survey questionnaire	89
3.3.3 Questionnaire and analysis	90
3.3.4 Background of respondents	90
3.3.5 Factors which contributed to career satisfaction	91
3.3.6 Factors which contributed to career dissatisfaction	92
3.3.7 Implications from the pilot study	93
3.4 The main study	95
3.4.1 The main study sample	95
3.4.2 Data collection	97
3.4.3 Modifications of items	97
3.4.4 Administering the survey questionnaire and samples	98
3.4.5 Quantitative data analysis	100
3.4.6 Data from other sources	101
3.4.7 Research schedule	101
Summary	101

CHAPTER FOUR 103-123

Data Analysis and Research Findings **Part One: Descriptive Data and Analysis of Items**

Introduction	103
4.1 Descriptive analysis	103
4.2 Teachers	104
4.2.1 Schools	104
4.2.2 Regions	104
4.2.3 Gender	105
4.2.4 Age	106
4.2.5 Academic qualifications	106
4.2.6 Professional qualifications	107
4.2.7 Teaching experience	107
4.2.8 Tenure in present school	108

4.2.9 Teaching loads	109
4.2.10 Teachers' facilities rating	110
4.2.11 Annual performance appraisal 1998	111
4.3 Administrators	112
4.3.1 Schools	112
4.3.2 Regions	112
4.3.3 Gender	113
4.3.4 Age	113
4.3.5 Academic qualifications	114
4.3.6 Professional qualifications	115
4.3.7 Teaching experience	115
4.3.8 Tenure in present school	116
4.3.9 Tenure in senior position	116
4.3.10 Teaching loads	117
4.3.11 Teachers' facilities rating	118
4.3.12 Annual performance appraisal 1998	118
4.4 Analysis of the questionnaires	119
4.4.1 Analysis of items	119
4.4.2 Frequencies, means and standard deviations	120
4.4.3 Correlations between items	120
4.4.4 First reliability test	120
4.4.5 Principal component analysis	121
4.4.6 Second reliability test	121
4.4.7 Correlations between variables	122
4.4.8 Final form of the subscales	122
Summary	123

CHAPTER FIVE 124-160

Data Analysis and Research Findings **Part Two: Relationships between Background and Demographic** **Variables and Levels of Satisfaction**

Introduction	124
5.1 Comparison by schools (primary and secondary)	124

5.1.1 Teachers and the extrinsic factors – primary and secondary	125
5.1.2 Teachers and the intrinsic factors – primary and secondary	125
5.1.3 Administrators and the extrinsic factors – primary and secondary	126
5.1.4 Administrators and the intrinsic factors – primary and secondary	126
5.2 Comparison by regions (rural and urban)	127
5.2.1 Teachers and the extrinsic factors – rural and urban	127
5.2.2 Teachers and the intrinsic factors – rural and urban	128
5.2.3 Administrators and the extrinsic factors – rural and urban	128
5.2.4 Administrators and the intrinsic factors – rural and urban	129
5.3 Comparison by gender (male and female)	130
5.3.1 Teachers and the extrinsic factors – male and female	130
5.3.2 Teachers and the intrinsic factors – male and female	131
5.3.3 Administrators and the extrinsic factors – male and female	132
5.3.4 Administrators and the intrinsic factors – male and female	133
5.4 Relationships between extrinsic factors and continuous variables	134
5.4.1 Age	134
5.4.1.1 Teachers	134
5.4.1.2 Administrators	135
5.4.2 Academic qualifications	135
5.4.2.1 Teachers	136
5.4.2.2 Administrators	137
5.4.3 Professional qualifications	137
5.4.3.1 Teachers	138
5.4.3.2 Administrators	138
5.4.4 Teaching experience	139
5.4.4.1 Teachers	140
5.4.4.2 Administrators	140
5.4.5 Tenure in present school	140
5.4.5.1 Teachers	141
5.4.5.2 Administrators	141
5.4.6 Annual performance appraisal result 1998	142
5.4.6.1 Teachers	142
5.4.6.2 Administrators	143

5.4.7 Teachers' facilities rating	143
5.4.7.1 Teachers	143
5.4.7.2 Administrators	144
5.4.8 Teaching loads	144
5.4.8.1 Teachers	145
5.4.8.2 Administrators	145
5.4.9 Tenure in senior position	146
5.4.9.1 Administrators	146
5.5 Relationships between intrinsic factors and continuous variables	147
5.5.1 Age	147
5.5.1.1 Teachers	147
5.5.1.2 Administrators	147
5.5.2 Academic qualifications	148
5.5.2.1 Teachers	148
5.5.2.2 Administrators	148
5.5.3 Professional qualifications	149
5.5.3.1 Teachers	149
5.5.3.2 Administrators	149
5.5.4 Teaching experience	149
5.5.4.1 Teachers	150
5.5.4.2 Administrators	150
5.5.5 Tenure in present school	150
5.5.5.1 Teachers	150
5.5.5.2 Administrators	151
5.5.6 Annual performance appraisal result 1998	151
5.5.6.1 Teachers	151
5.5.6.2 Administrators	152
5.5.7 Teachers' facilities rating	152
5.5.7.1 Teachers	152
5.5.7.2 Administrators	152
5.5.8 Teaching loads	153
5.5.8.1 Teachers	153
5.5.8.2 Administrators	153

5.5.9 Tenure in senior position	154
5.5.9.1 Administrators	154
5.6 Multiple regression analysis	155
5.6.1 Teachers	155
5.6.1.1 Predicting <i>Extrifacts</i> – teachers	155
5.6.1.2 Predicting <i>Intrifacts</i> – teachers	156
5.6.2 Administrators	157
5.6.2.1 Predicting <i>Extrifacts</i> – administrators	157
5.6.2.2 Predicting <i>Intrifacts</i> – administrators	158
5.6.3 Consistent predictors	159
Summary	159
CHAPTER SIX	161-184
Discussion, Implications and Recommendations	161
Introduction	
6.1 Career satisfaction of teachers and administrators in Sarawak	162
6.1.1 Extrinsic factors	162
6.1.2 Intrinsic factors	166
6.1.3 Sources of career satisfaction	170
6.1.3.1 Teachers	170
6.1.3.2 Administrators	172
6.2 Implications	173
6.2.1 The rural – urban dimension	176
6.2.2 Primary and secondary schools	177
6.2.3 Professional development opportunities	178
6.2.4 Teachers’ welfare	179
6.3 Recommendations	180
6.3.1 Recommendations to the MOEM and SED	181
6.3.2 Future research	184
Summary	184

REFERENCES

185-193

APPENDICES

194-256

List of Tables

Table	Page
1 Table 1.1 Sarawak: area, population, average growth rate (AGR) and population density by division (in comparison with Malaysia)	7
2 Table 1.2 Mark indicators for pay rise award and percentage of eligibility	20
3 Table 1.3 Salary band of a DG3 graduate teacher in Malaysia	21
4 Table 2.1 Major job values and ways to implement them	59
5 Table 3.1 Job in general (18 items)	87
6 Table 3.2 Work (15 items)	87
7 Table 3.3 Pay (9 items)	87
8 Table 3.4 Promotion (9 items)	87
9 Table 3.5 Supervision (17 items)	88
10 Table 3.6 Colleagues (18 items)	88
11 Table 3.7 Aspects (10 items)	88
12 Table 3.8 Pilot Study : Respondents by gender and age	91
13 Table 3.9 Pilot Study : Length of teaching service	91
14 Table 3.10 Pilot Study: Statements of factors to career satisfaction and career dissatisfaction	92
15 Table 3.11 <i>see Appendix IV</i>	
16 Table 3.12 Sample size and category of schools	97
17 Table 4.1 Distribution of teachers by schools	104
18 Table 4.2 Distribution of teachers by regions	105
19 Table 4.3 Distribution of teachers by gender and schools	105
20 Table 4.4 Age distribution of teachers by gender	106
21 Table 4.5 Distribution of teachers by academic qualifications	107
22 Table 4.6 Distribution of teachers by professional qualifications	107
23 Table 4.7 Distribution of teachers by teaching experience	108
24 Table 4.8 Distribution of teachers by tenure in present school	109
25 Table 4.9 Distribution of teachers by teaching loads, regions and schools	110
26 Table 4.10 Distribution of responses to teachers' facilities rating for teachers by schools	110
27 Table 4.11 Distribution of teachers by the APAR98	111
28 Table 4.12 Distribution of administrators by schools	112
29 Table 4.13 Distribution of administrators by regions	113

30	Table 4.14 Distribution administrators by gender and schools	113
31	Table 4.15 Age distributions of administrators	114
32	Table 4.16 Distribution of administrators by academic qualifications	114
33	Table 4.17 Distribution of administrators by professional qualifications	115
34	Table 4.18 Distribution of administrators by teaching experience	115
35	Table 4.19 Distribution of administrators by tenure in present school	116
36	Table 4.20 Distribution of administrators by tenure in senior position and schools	116
37	Table 4.21 Distribution of administrators by teaching loads, schools and regions	117
38	Table 4.22 Distribution of responses to teachers' facilities rating for administrators by schools	118
39	Table 4.23 Distribution of administrators by APAR98	119
40	Table 4.24 – 4.37 <i>see Appendix VIII & IX</i>	
41	Table 4.38 Coefficients alpha values from first reliability test	121
42	Table 4.39 – 4.45 <i>see Appendix X</i>	
43	Table 4.46 Seconds reliability coefficients alpha values	121
45	Table 4.47 Correlations between the subscales for teachers (N=776)	122
46	Table 4.48 Correlations between the subscales for administrators (N=110)	122
47	Table 4.49 – 4.60 <i>see Appendix XI</i>	
48	Table 5.1 Mean scores for extrinsic factors of primary and secondary school teachers	125
49	Table 5.2 Mean scores for intrinsic factors of primary and secondary school teachers	126
50	Table 5.3 Mean scores for extrinsic factors of primary and secondary school administrators	126
51	Table 5.4 Mean scores for intrinsic factors of primary and secondary school administrators	126
52	Table 5.5 Mean scores for the extrinsic factors of urban and rural Teachers	127
53	Table 5.6 Mean scores for the intrinsic factors of urban and rural teachers	128
54	Table 5.7 Mean scores for the extrinsic factors of urban and rural administrators	129
55	Table 5.8 Mean scores for the intrinsic factors of urban and rural administrators	129

56	Table 5.9 Mean scores for extrinsic factors of male and female teachers	130
57	Table 5.10 Mean scores for intrinsic factors of male and female teachers	131
58	Table 5.11 Mean scores for the extrinsic factors of male and female administrators	132
59	Table 5.12 Mean scores for the intrinsic factors of male and female administrators	133
60	Table 5.13 Correlations between extrinsic factors and age for teachers (N=776)	135
61	Table 5.14 Correlations between extrinsic factors and age for administrators (N=110)	135
62	Table 5.15 Correlations between extrinsic factors and academic qualifications for teachers (N=776)	136
63	Table 5.16 Correlations between extrinsic factors and academic qualifications for administrators (N=110)	137
64	Table 5.17 Correlations between extrinsic factors and professional qualifications for teachers (N=776)	138
65	Table 5.18 Correlations between extrinsic factors and professional qualifications for administrators (N=110)	139
66	Table 5.19 Correlations between extrinsic factors and teaching experience for teachers (N=776)	140
67	Table 5.20 Correlations between and extrinsic factors and teaching experience for administrators (N=110)	140
68	Table 5.21 Correlations between extrinsic factors and tenure at present school for teachers (N=776)	141
69	Table 5.22 Correlations between extrinsic factors and tenure at present school for administrators (N=110)	141
70	Table 5.23 Correlations between extrinsic factors and APAR98 for teachers (N=776)	142
71	Table 5.24 Correlations between extrinsic factors and APAR98 for administrators (N=110)	143
72	Table 5.25 Correlations between extrinsic factors and teachers' facilities rating for teachers (N=776)	143
73	Table 5.26 Correlations between extrinsic factors and teachers' facilities rating for administrators (N=110)	144
74	Table 5.27 Correlations between extrinsic factor and teaching loads for teachers (N=776)	145
75	Table 5.28 Correlations between extrinsic factors and teaching loads for administrators (N=110)	145
76	Table 5.29 Correlations between extrinsic factors and tenure in senior position for administrators (N=110)	146

77	Table 5.30 Correlations between intrinsic factors and age for teachers (N=776)	147
78	Table 5.31 Correlations between intrinsic factors and age for administrators (N=110)	147
79	Table 5.32 Correlations between intrinsic factors and academic qualifications for teachers (N=776)	148
80	Table 5.33 Correlations between intrinsic factors and academic qualifications for administrators (N=110)	148
81	Table 5.34 Correlations between intrinsic factors and professional qualifications for teachers (N=776)	149
82	Table 5.35 Correlations between intrinsic factors and professional qualifications for the administrators (N=110)	149
83	Table 5.36 Correlations between intrinsic factors and teaching experience for teachers (N=776)	150
84	Table 5.37 Correlations between intrinsic factors and teaching experience for administrators (N=110)	150
85	Table 5.38 Correlations between intrinsic factors and tenure in present school for teachers (N=776)	150
86	Table 5.39 Correlations between intrinsic factors and tenure in present school for administrators (N=110)	151
87	Table 5.40 Correlations between intrinsic factors and APAR98 for teachers (N=776)	151
88	Table 5.41 Correlations between intrinsic factors and APAR98 for administrators (N=110)	152
89	Table 5.42 Correlations between intrinsic factors and teachers' facilities ratings for teachers (N=776)	152
90	Table 5.43 Correlations between intrinsic factors and teachers' facilities ratings for administrators (N=110)	153
91	Table 5.44 Correlations between intrinsic factors and teaching loads for teachers (N=776)	153
92	Table 5.45 Correlations between intrinsic factors and teaching loads for administrators (N=110)	153
93	Table 5.46 Correlations between intrinsic factors and tenure in senior position for administrators (N=110)	154
94	Table 5.47 Prediction of <i>Extrifacts</i> for teachers' sample (N=698)	156
95	Table 5.48 Prediction of <i>Intrifacts</i> for teachers' sample (N=698)	157
96	Table 5.49 Prediction of <i>Extrifacts</i> for administrators (N=110)	158
97	Table 5.50 Prediction of <i>Intrifacts</i> for administrators (N=110)	158
98	Table 5.51 Consistent predictors of <i>Extrifacts</i> and <i>Intrifacts</i> for teachers and administrators	159

List of Maps and Figures

Maps		Page
1	Map 1 Location of Sarawak	3
2	Map 2 Administrative divisions and districts of Sarawak	6
Figures		
1	Figure 1.1 Sarawak Education Department organisational chart	9
2	Figure 1.2 Teachers who opted for premature retirement 1993 – 1998	31
3	Figure 1.3 Teachers who resigned from the teaching service from 1993 – 1998	32
4	Figure 2.1 The effects of motivator and hygiene factors on job satisfaction	46
5	Figure 2.2 Maslow's hierarchy of needs	47
6	Figure 2.3 Career satisfaction model	52

List of Appendices

		Page	
1	Appendix I	Teacher quota for primary school in Sarawak	194
2	Appendix II	Survey questionnaires for teachers	195
3	Appendix III	Survey questionnaires for administrators	210
4	Appendix IV	Population of Sarawak teachers 1999	225
5	Appendix V	Letter of approval from the Ministry of Education, Malaysia for conducting the research	226
6	Appendix VI	Researcher's letter to principals and school heads	228
7	Appendix VII	Teachers and administrators time tables	230
8	Appendix VIII	Frequencies, mean score and standard deviations for JiG, work, pay, promotion, supervision, colleagues and aspects	236
9	Appendix IX	Correlations between items	242
10	Appendix X	Component matrix for JiG, work, pay, promotion, supervision, colleagues and aspects	248
11	Appendix XI	Mean scores for <i>Intrifacts</i> and <i>Extrifacts</i>	254

List of abbreviations

APAR98	Annual Performance Appraisal Result 1998
ATT	Annual Task Target
BLTC	Batu Lintang Training College
CCR	Cabinet Committee Report
GCE	General School Certificate
GSE	Graduate School of Education
HEP	Horizontal with Excellent Performance
HGP	Horizontal with Good Performance
Hp	Homepage
HSC	Higher School Certificate
<i>JPB</i>	<i>Jabatan Pendidikan Bahagian</i> [Divisional Education Department]
<i>JPD</i>	<i>Jabatan Pendidikan Daerah</i> [District Education Department]
LCE	Lower Certificate of Education
MCE	Malaysian Certificate of Education
MOEM	Ministry of Education, Malaysia
MPS	<i>Maktab Perguruan Samarahan</i> [Samarahan Teacher' College]
NEP	National Education Philosophy
<i>PPB</i>	<i>Pegawai Pendidikan Bahagian</i> [Divisional Education Officer]
<i>PPD</i>	<i>Pegawai Pendidikan Daerah</i> [District Education Officer]
PSD	Public Service Department
RTC	Rajang teachers' College
SED	Sarawak Education Department
SJC	Sarawak Junior Certificate
SMTC	Sarawak Malay Teachers' College
<i>SPM</i>	<i>Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia</i> [MCE equivalent]
<i>SRP</i>	<i>Sijil Rendah Pelajaran</i> [LCE equivalent]
SSB	<i>Sistem Saraan Baru</i> [New Remuneration System]
<i>STP</i>	<i>Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran</i> [HSC equivalent by Cambridge Examination Syndicate]
<i>STPM</i>	<i>Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran Malaysia</i> [HSC equivalent by Malaysian Examination Syndicate]
STTC	Sarawak Teachers' Training Collage
UWA	University of Western Australia

